JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

The second of th	or man transmit on moti i nem	OF THIS FORMA,							
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS					
ALFRED KROKENBERGER				PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff PHILADELPHIA (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant PHILADELPHIA (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Sidney L. Gold, Esquire Sidney L. Gold & Assoc., P.C. 1835 Market St., Suite 515 Phila., PA 19103 215-569-1999				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD				ITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPA	AL PARTIES			
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	ent X 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF	Incorporated or Pr of Business In Thi		PTF	DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	p of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	1 2 🗇 2	Incorporated and I of Business In		D 5	5
				en or Subject of a oreign Country	3 🗇 3	Foreign Nation		D 6	□ 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly)	1 60	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	I DAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	CTATIF	rre
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 196 Franchise 197 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 197 Contract Product Liability 198 Franchise 199 Contract Product Liability 199 Annual Condemnation 199 Contract Product Liability 199 All Other Real Property 190 All Othe	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability Parsonal Injury - Product Liability Personal Injury - Product Liability Product Liability Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEF 385 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	Y	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other	422 Appe 423 With 28 U PROPE 820 Copp 830 Pater 840 Trad 861 HIA 862 Blad 863 DIW 865 RSI 865 RSI 870 Taxe or D 871 IRS-26 U 871 IRS-26 U	eal 28 USC 158 drawal JSC 157 RTY RIGHTS rrights nt emark SECURITY (1395ff) k Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) D Title XVI	375 False Cl 400 State Re 440 State Re 440 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other S 891 Agricull 893 Environ 895 Freedom Act 896 Arbitrat 899 Admini: Act/Rev	aims Act rapportion t nd Bankin rce fice fion er Influen Organiza er Credit at TV es/Comm ge latutory A n of Infor ion strative Pr iew or A Decision tionality	nment mg need and tions odities/ vetions tatters mation
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re	te Court	Appellate Court	Reo			☐ 6 Multidistr Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	I FMI A ADA PHR	Α	ic ming	tivo noi cue jurisaictional st	antes uniess o	uversuy)			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION		DEMAND \$ /////CC		CHECK YES only		complai	
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE	1		DOCKI	ET NUMBER			
DATE 12/21/2015		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORKEY	OF RECORD /S/ SIDNEY L.	GOLD, E	ESQUIRE			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY			1/						
RECEIPT #A	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP	1	JUDGE		MAG JU	DGE		

JS 44 Reverse (Rev. 09/11)

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I. (a) Plaintiffs-Defendants. Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.C.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.

United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here.

United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is aparty, the U.S. plaintiffor defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.)

- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties. This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If the nature of suit cannot be determined, be sure the cause of action, in Section VI below, is sufficient to enable the deputy clerk or the statistical clerks in the Administrative Office to determine the nature of suit. If the cause fits more than one nature of suit, select the most definitive.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.

Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407. When this box is checked, do not check (5) above.

Appeal to District Judge from Magistrate Judgment. (7) Check this box for an appeal from a magistrate judge's decision.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.

 Example:

 U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553
 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.

Demand. In this space enter the dollar amount (in thousands of dollars) being demanded or indicate other demand such as a preliminary injunction.

Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.

VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.

Case 2:15-cv-06731-NIQA Document 1 Filed 12/21/15 Page 3 of 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

APPENDIX A

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 9239 TREATY ROAD, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19114 Address of Defendant 800 W. MONTGOMERY AVENUE, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction, 800 W. MONTGOMERY AVENUE, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this case involve mutidistrict litigation possibilities? NoX RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge __ Date Terminated Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suitor any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No No CIVIL: (Place X in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Case& B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1 Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. TELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury Jones Act-Personal Injury Assault, Defamation ☐ Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury ☐ Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury Labor-Management Relations 6 Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Products Liability Products Liability -Asbestos Habeas Corpus ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases All other Diversity Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESOUIRE counse of record do hereby certify: Dursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum d \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought DATE: 12/21/2015 SLG-21374 /s/ SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE Attorney I D # Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. i certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previous ly terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE: 12/21/2015 SLG-21374 /s/ SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE Attorney-at-Law Attorney I.D.#

CTV 009 (9/99)

Case 2:15-cv-06731-NIQA Document 1 Filed 12/21/15 Page 4 of 15

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA -DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the

APPENDIX A

purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff 9239 TREATY ROAD, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19114 Address of Defendant 800 W. MONTGOMERY AVENUE, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122 Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction 800 W. MONTGOMERY AVENUE, PHILADELPHIA, PA 19122 (Use Reverse Side For Additional Space) Does this case involve mutidistrict litigation possibilities? No RELATED CASE, IF ANY: _ Judge _ Date Terminated Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions: 1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? 2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes No 3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suitor any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court? Yes□ No⊠ CIVIL: (Place X in ONE CATEGORY ONLY) A. Federal Question Case& B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts Insurance Contract and Other Contracts ☐ FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury Jones Act-Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation ☐ Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury ☐ Labor-Management Relations Other Personal Injury (Please specify) 7. Products Liability ☐ Habeas Corpus Products Liability -Asbestos All other Diversity Cases ☐ Securities Act(s) Cases ☐ Social Security Review Cases (Please specify) All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify) ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION (Check appropriate Category) SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE counsel of record do hereby certify: Dursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2. Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum d \$150,000 00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought DATE: 12/21/2015 SLG-21374 /s/ SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE Attorney I.D.# Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38. certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or within one year previous ly terminated action in this court except as noted above. DATE: 12/21/2015 /s/ SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE Attorney I.D # Attorney-at-Law CIA: 900 505501

IN THE- UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

ALFRED KROKENBERGER	AL	FRED	KROŁ	KENB	ERGEF
---------------------	----	------	------	------	-------

CIVIL ACTION

PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS

NO.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to w

SELECT ONE OF THE

hich that defendant believes the case should be assigned.			
E FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:			
(a) Habeas Corpus Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through §2255.	()	
(b) Social Security - Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.	()	
(c) Arbitration Cases required to be designated for arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()	
(c) Asbestos Cases involving claims for personal injury or property damage from exposure to asbestos.	()	
(d) Special Management Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)	()	
(e) Standard Management Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.		×)	
/S/SIDNEY L. GOLD,	ESQ	UIRE	
Attorney-at-law - /S/SIDNEY L. GOLD Attorney for P			

Wiy, 66017195

12/21/2015 Date

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ALFRED J. KROKENBERGER,

CIVIL ACTION NO:

Plaintiff,

V.

PHILADELPHIA GAS WORKS,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

I. PRELIMINARY STATEMENT:

- 1. This is an action for an award of damages, declaratory and injunctive relief, attorneys' fees, and other relief on behalf of the Plaintiff, Alfred J. Krokenberger ("Plaintiff Krokenberger"), a former employee of the Defendant, Philadelphia Gas Works ("Defendant"), who has been harmed by the Defendant's discriminatory and unlawful employment practices.
- 2. This action arises under the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"), 29 U.S.C. § 2612, et. seq., the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA"), 42 U.S.C. § 12101, et. seq., and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA"), 43 P.S. § 951, et. seq.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE:

3. The original jurisdiction of this Court is invoked, and venue is proper in this judicial district, pursuant to <u>Title 28 U.S.C. §§1331</u> and <u>1391</u>, as Plaintiffs Krokenberger's claims are substantively based on both the FMLA and the ADA, and a substantial part of the events giving rise to Plaintiff Krokenberger's claims occurred within this district.

- 4. The supplemental jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to <u>28 U.S.C.</u> §1367 to consider Plaintiff Krokenberger's claims arising under the PHRA.
- 5. All conditions precedent to the institution of this suit have been fulfilled and Plaintiff Krokenberger has satisfied all jurisdictional prerequisites to the maintenance of this action. On December 10, 2015, the United States Department of Justice issued a Notice of Right to Sue and this action has been filed within ninety (90) days of receipt of said notice.

III. <u>PARTIES</u>:

- 6. Plaintiff, Alfred J. Krokenberger ("Plaintiff Krokenberger"), is a thirty-five (35) year old male citizen of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, residing therein at 9239 Treaty Road, Philadelphia, PA 19114.
- 7. Defendant, Philadelphia Gas Works ("Defendant"), was and is now a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, maintaining a place of business therein at 800 W. Montgomery Avenue, Philadelphia, PA 19122.
- 8. At all times relevant hereto, the Defendant was acting through its agents, servants, and employees, who were acting within the scope of their authority, course of employment, and under the direct control of the Defendant.
- 9. At all times material herein, the Defendant has been a "person" and "employer" as defined under the FMLA, the ADA, and the PHRA, and accordingly is subject to the provisions of each said Act.

IV. STATEMENT OF CLAIMS

10. Plaintiff Krokenberger was employed by the Defendant from on or about December 9, 2009 until on or about January 3, 2014, the date of his unlawful termination.

- During his tenure of employment with the Defendant, Plaintiff Krokenberger held the position of Field Service Technician. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff Krokenberger maintained a satisfactory job performance rating in said capacity.
- 12. By way of background, Plaintiff Krokenberger suffers form Anxiety, General Anxiety Disorder, and BiPolar Depression. Said medical conditions constitute disabilities within the meaning of the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA") and the Pennsylvania Human Relations Act ("PHRA") in that they substantially impair one or more of Plaintiff Krokenberger's major life activities, including, but not limited to, eating, sleeping, and interacting with others.
- 13. As a result of his disabilities, during the course of 2013, Plaintiff Krokenberger was forced to take several brief medical leaves of absence pursuant to his rights under the Family and Medical Leave Act ("FMLA"). At all times relevant thereto, Plaintiff Krokenberger updated the Defendant regarding his medical condition and reiterated his desire to continue working.
- 14. In or about September of 2013, Plaintiff Krokenberger moved to a new residence and accordingly informed the Defendant of his new mailing address.
- 15. On or about November 4, 2013, Plaintiff Krokenberger requested light duty as a reasonable accommodation for his disabilities (Anxiety, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, BiPolar Depression). However, the Respondent denied Plaintiff Krokenberger's request for light duty, thereby failing to accommodate Plaintiff Krokenberger, failing to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff Krokenberger, and forcing Plaintiff Krokenberger to commence an additional brief medical leave of absence.

- 16. On or about December 12, 2013, while Plaintiff Krokenberger was on medical leave, the Defendant mailed a letter to Plaintiff Krokenberger's former address, requesting that he supply updated medical information on or before December 22, 2013.
- 17. Shortly thereafter, on or about December 23, 2013, the Defendant abruptly terminated Plaintiff Krokenberger's employment, allegedly for failing to supply the aforesaid medical documentation.
- 18. Despite being aware of Plaintiff Krokenberger's new address, the Defendant failed to send its medical documentation request to his new residence, or undertake any efforts to otherwise contact Plaintiff Krokenberger before abruptly terminating his employment.
- 19. Plaintiff Krokenberger did not learn of either the Defendant's request for medical documentation or the termination of his employment until on or about January 3, 2014.
- 20. Immediately thereafter, Plaintiff Krokenberger contacted the Defendant to apprise them of the aforesaid errors. However, the Defendant failed and refused to revoke Plaintiff Krokenberger's termination, thereby evidencing its discriminatory and retaliatory intent.
- 21. Plaintiff Krokenberger believes and therefore avers that the Defendant's articulated reason for terminating his employment was pretextual and that the Defendant actually terminated his employment on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disabilities and/or record of impairments (Anxiety, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, BiPolar Depression) and in retaliation for requesting a reasonable accommodation and exercising his rights pursuant to the FMLA.

COUNT I (Violation of the FMLA – Retaliation) Plaintiff Krokenberger vs. the Defendant

22. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 21 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

- 23. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants, and employees, in retaliating against Plaintiff Krokenberger for exercising his statutory rights pursuant to the FMLA, constitute a violation of the FMLA.
- 24. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the FMLA, Plaintiff Krokenberger has sustained permanent and irreparable harm, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 25. By reason of the aforesaid unlawful employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the FMLA, Plaintiff Krokenberger is entitled to liquidated damages, which he hereby claims of the Defendant.

COUNT II

(Violations of the ADA – Disability Discrimination, Failure to Accommodate, and Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process) Plaintiff Krokenberger vs. the Defendant

- 26. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 25 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 27. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants, and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Krokenberger to unlawful discrimination on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disabilities and/or record of impairments (Anxiety, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, BiPolar Depression), failing to accommodate Plaintiff Krokenberger, and failing to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff Krokenberger, constitute violations of the ADA.
- 28. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Krokenberger sustained permanent and irreparable harm, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of

certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.

29. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Krokenberger suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

COUNT III (Violation of the ADA – Retaliation) Plaintiff Krokenberger vs. the Defendant

- 30. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 29 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 31. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants, and employees, in retaliating against Plaintiff Krokenberger for requesting a reasonable accommodation, constitute a violation of the ADA.
- 32. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Krokenberger sustained permanent and irreparable harm, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 33. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the ADA, Plaintiff Krokenberger suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

COUNT IV

(Violations of the PHRA – Disability Discrimination, Failure to Accommodate, And Failure to Engage in the Interactive Process) Plaintiff Krokenberger vs. the Defendant

- 34. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 33 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.
- 35. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants, and employees, in subjecting Plaintiff Krokenberger to unlawful discrimination on the basis of his actual and/or perceived disabilities and/or record of impairments (Anxiety, Generalized Anxiety Disorder, BiPolar Depression), failing to accommodate Plaintiff Krokenberger, and failing to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff Krokenberger, constitute violations of the PHRA.
- 36. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Krokenberger sustained permanent and irreparable harm, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 37. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful discriminatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendants in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Krokenberger suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

COUNT V (Violation of the PHRA – Retaliation) Plaintiff Krokenberger vs. the Defendant

38. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 37 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

- 39. The actions of the Defendant, through its agents, servants, and employees, in retaliating against Plaintiff Krokenberger for requesting a reasonable accommodation, constitute a violation of the PHRA.
- 40. As a direct result of the aforesaid unlawful retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Krokenberger sustained permanent and irreparable harm, which caused him to sustain a loss of earnings, plus the value of certain benefits, plus loss of future earning power, plus back pay, and front pay and interest due thereon.
- 41. As a further direct result of the aforesaid unlawful retaliatory employment practices engaged in by the Defendant in violation of the PHRA, Plaintiff Krokenberger suffered severe emotional distress, embarrassment, humiliation, and loss of self-esteem.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

42. Plaintiff Krokenberger incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 41 of this Complaint as though fully set forth at length herein.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Krokenberger respectfully requests that this Honorable Court enter judgment in her favor and against the Defendant and Order the following relief:

- a. A declaratory judgment that the Defendant has violated Plaintiff Krokenberger's rights pursuant to the aforesaid causes of action;
- b. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Krokenberger for the wages and other benefits and emoluments of employment lost, because of its unlawful conduct;
- c. Defendant compensate Plaintiff Krokenberger with an award of front pay, if appropriate;

Case 2:15-cv-06731-NIQA Document 1 Filed 12/21/15 Page 14 of 15

Defendant pay to Plaintiff Krokenberger liquidated damages, as allowable under d.

the FMLA.

f.

Defendant pay to Plaintiff Krokenberger compensatory damages for future e.

pecuniary losses, pain, suffering, inconvenience, mental anguish, loss of enjoyment of life, and

other non-pecuniary losses, as allowable by law.

Defendant pay to Plaintiff Krokenberger punitive damages under any applicable

law, pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest, costs of suit, attorney's fees, and expert

witness fees, as allowable by law;

Defendant pay to Plaintiff Krokenberger such further and additional relief as may g.

be just and proper, or that the interests of justice may require.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff Krokenberger demands a trial by jury.

SIDNEY L. GOLD & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By:

/s/Sidney L. Gold, Esquire

SIDNEY L. GOLD, ESQUIRE

I.D. NO.: 21374

1835 Market Street, Suite 515

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 569-1999

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: December 21, 2015

-9-

VERIFICATION

I hereby verify that the statements contained in this **Complaint** are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of Title 18 Pa. C.S.A. §4904, relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

DATE: 12/21/15

Alfred J. Krokenberger, Plaintiff